



Mr. Gerard Poliquin,
Secretary of the Board
National Credit Union Administration
1775 Duke Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314-3428

RE: Comments on Proposed Rulemaking for Member Business Loans, Part 723.

Dear Mr. Poliquin:

I am writing to the National Credit Union Administration today to urge you to withdraw the proposal to "modernize" the NCUA's member business lending regulation.

We are a \$330 million asset bank in Northern Minnesota. We have 12 offices in 12 communities and lend to the commercial customers in our area every day. We recently had a credit union merge with another within our trade area. We believe this may have been partially caused by their lack of expertise in commercial lending, which they made part of their marketing effort.

In the name of regulatory relief, the NCUA is expanding the ability of credit unions to make commercial loans in ways that were never approved by Congress. Despite attempts to pass legislation increasing credit unions' commercial lending authority, Congress has repeatedly rejected those attempts because additional commercial lending authority is inconsistent with the credit unions' tax exempt mission. The NCUA should not grant powers that Congress has regularly rejected.

This proposal is contrary to congressional intent to limit credit union business lending activity. In 1998, Congress instituted the credit union commercial lending cap, making it clear that credit unions should be focused on consumer lending, not commercial lending. The cap was put in place "to ensure that credit unions continue to fulfill their specified mission of meeting the credit and savings needs of consumers, especially persons of modest means, through an emphasis on consumer rather than business loans." By proposing this rule, the NCUA Board has disregarded Congress's clear intent.

Commercial lending is more complicated and requires a different skillset than consumer lending. The credit union industry has not shown that they have the requisite skills. Several different credit unions failed because of poor performance within their commercial lending portfolios. The NCUA should not be encouraging further credit union commercial lending expansion until the industry proves it has mastered that type of lending.

Every credit union continues to enjoy their tax exemptions, even though many of them are no longer true to the original credit union mission. There are many examples, but the

Askov
6340 Merchant St.
PO Box 100
Askov, MN 55704
(320) 838-3131

AUG31'15 PM 2:04 BOARD

Barnum
3842 Main Street
Barnum, MN 55707
(218) 389-6967

Cromwell
5584 Hwy. 210
PO Box 68
Cromwell, MN 55726
(218) 644-3351

Deer River
216 4th St. S.E.
PO Box 518
Deer River, MN 56636
(218) 246-8221

Finlayson
2203 Finland Ave.
PO Box 257
Finlayson, MN 55735
(320) 233-7575

Floodwood
130 W. 7th Ave.
PO Box 285
Floodwood, MN 55736
(218) 476-2281

Grand Rapids
411 Pokegama Ave. S.
PO Box 406
Grand Rapids, MN 55744
(218) 326-5145

Hinckley
402 Old Hwy. 61
PO Box B
Hinckley, MN 55037
(320) 384-0852

Kerrick
8382 Main St.
PO Box 34
Kerrick, MN 55756
(218) 496-5515

Sandstone
510 Main St.
PO Box 9
Sandstone, MN 55072
(320) 245-5261

Willow River
8132 Co. Hwy. 61
PO Box 357
Willow River, MN 55795
(218) 372-3155

Internet
northviewbank.com

Member FDIC
Equal Housing Lender

California credit union that recently committed to paying \$120 million for the naming rights on a professional basketball arena is a great example of how the credit unions abuse their tax advantages. If the NCUA were a true regulator, rather than a "cheerleader" for the credit union industry, it would reign in these types of excesses.

Thank you for considering this comment letter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'William P. Loew', with a stylized, cursive script.

William P Loew, EVP